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INTRODUCTION

In 1966, the National Historic Preservation Act was passed by the 89th United States Congress and subsequently signed into law by President Lyndon B. Johnson. With this Act, the Secretary of the Interior was called upon to expand and maintain a national register of historic places and give maximum encouragement to state governments to develop statewide historic preservation programs of their own. The Act recognized that one of the prerequisites for an effective national preservation program was the identification of historic resources across the country through comprehensive statewide surveys. Thus, state historic preservation offices were made responsible under the National Historic Preservation Act for decisions concerning the preservation of historic properties in their states.

The manifestation of the 1966 National Historic Preservation Act for Nebraska came in 1967 when state legislation directed the Nebraska State Historical Society to oversee the preservation of historical properties and conduct a comprehensive statewide historic survey. For this, the Nebraska Historic Buildings Survey (NeHBS) was formed and is conducted by the Nebraska State Historic Preservation Office (NeSHPO) as a part of the Nebraska State Historical Society. The NeHBS is an ongoing statewide study designed to identify and evaluate properties within a selected area to determine whether they may be of historic, architectural, archeological, or cultural significance. The NeSHPO serves as the central repository for the collected information and becomes the focal point for preservation planning decisions.

Starting with a limited survey of 125 sites, the NeHBS has now documented
approximately 37,000 properties and completed preliminary fieldwork in over two-thirds of Nebraska's 93 counties. The latest effort of the NeSHPO to document historic resources is the completion of the Northern Nebraska Sandhills Historic Building Survey.

Northern Nebraska Sandhills Survey Area

Save America's Heritage was selected by the NeSHPO and engaged in a contractual agreement to conduct the Northern Nebraska Sandhills Historic Buildings Survey. The survey consisted of the completed preliminary fieldwork in nine northern Nebraska counties: Grant, Cherry, Hooker, Thomas, Keya Paha, Brown, Rock, Blaine and Loup. Initiated in September, 1988, the survey was completed in May, 1989. With the completion of the nine-county project, the Northern Nebraska Sandhills was the second region of the state to be completed under the NeSHPO's plan for preliminary statewide reconnaissance coverage by
The primary objective of the survey was to provide a preliminary characterization of the extant historic resources in the northern Nebraska Sandhills region. The effort to document properties contributing to the context of Nebraska's historic architecture produces information which serves not only as a resource in preservation management, but also expresses a genuine concern for the history of the Great Plains built environment.

In addition to this, the historic buildings survey of the northern Sandhills region has produced information which serves not only as a tool for local and state preservation planning but also contributes knowledge to the contextual overview of Nebraska's historic architecture. With each historic building survey performed by the NeSHPO, additional information is added to a larger pool of data which allows a greater understanding of the historic resources extant throughout the state.

Another primary objective of the survey was the identification of a definitive group of historic properties potentially eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). The Historic Buildings Survey of Hooker County has accomplished this goal by identifying a total of 12 historic properties considered potentially eligible for the NRHP. In addition to the completion of these primary goals, several of the survey's secondary goals were also satisfied. These include the identification of specific building types or construction methods which either related or were unique to the historic built environment of Nebraska, and the expansion of knowledge regarding ethnic settlement and building technologies.
Preservation Biases

It is Save America’s Heritage belief that people, and the places in which they live, are the raw materials of history. A community, its inhabitants and its development through time are proper subjects for our contemplation, for it is through such studies that we gain a fuller comprehension of the present.

The public mention of a "historic building survey" often fails to produce a collective image or understanding. A strong social awareness towards preservation of our built environment does exist in the rehabilitation of aged urban districts for example, but the notion of recording historic structures as a preservation activity remains a generally obscure concept. Fortunately, this obscurity is due to a lack of awareness rather than a lack of genuine concern. Communicating the importance of this activity as a documentation of our Great Plains history cannot be stressed enough.

Furthermore, it is also the opinion of Save America’s Heritage that such surveys are a necessary tool in the recording of Great Plains settlement. The numerical demise of Nebraska’s rural architecture is directly linked to the decline of the rural-based population. In the year 1900, 76.3% of Nebraska’s population was found in rural towns or on the farms. However, by 1980 the rural population has dropped nearly 40 percentage points to the current figure of 37.1% (see Table 1).
The affect on the historic built environment has been devastating. The number of houses now exceeds the demand and the older, perhaps less functional and aesthetic buildings are not re-inhabited. The buildings then deteriorate and are either dismantled or collapse. Consequently, there exists an increasing decline in the "pool" of historic building resources. Compounding the demise of these rural resources is the current decline of the agricultural economy. The prospect of farming as a profitable future for the next generation is now less and less desirable. This, in turn, contributes to the decreasing rural population and re-inhabitation of existing historic buildings.

The enumeration of social changes affecting historic resources can be lengthy and complex. It is clear, however, that the result of these changes coupled with the diminishing effects of time substantiate the need for historic building surveys. It is through such surveys that we not only record the built settlement of Nebraska, but reach a fuller understanding of our present world.

**TABLE 1. LOCATIONAL PERCENTAGE OF NEBRASKA POPULATION, 1900-1980.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected Years</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Urban Percentage</th>
<th>Rural Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1,066,300</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>76.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1,192,214</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>73.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1920</td>
<td>1,296,372</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>68.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1930</td>
<td>1,377,963</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>64.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1940</td>
<td>1,315,834</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>60.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>1,325,510</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>53.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>1,411,921</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>45.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>1,485,333</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>38.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>1,569,825</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Numerical Summary of Hooker County Reconnaissance Survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOOKER COUNTY</th>
<th>TOTAL PROPERTIES</th>
<th>CONTRIBUTING BUILDINGS</th>
<th>CONTRIBUTING SITES</th>
<th>CONTRIBUTING STRUCTURES</th>
<th>CONTRIBUTING OBJECTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>21 (2)</td>
<td>70 (2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mullen</td>
<td>56 (2)</td>
<td>82 (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>77 (2)</td>
<td>152 (2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HISTORIC OVERVIEW
"...the hills, in that land endless dun-colored hills where chops and blowouts follow each other like waves of a wind-whipped sea..."

From Sandhills Sundays (1930)
by Mari Sandoz

Physical Description

Hooker County, one of Nebraska's least populated counties, is located in the center of the Nebraska Sandhills. It is bordered to the north by Cherry County, the west by Grant County, the south by McPherson County, and the east by Thomas County. The county is 24 miles from north to south and 30 miles from east to west and contains 720 square miles of land.

The land of Hooker County is typical Sandhills country with a predominantly sandy loam soil and little tree growth. The county is drained by the Middle Loup River to the north and by the Dismal River to the south. There are only a few lakes in the county, most of which are found in the southwest corner of the county.

The hills of Hooker County tend to run from the northwest to the southeast and are generously punctuated by valleys. The land is well suited to the needs of range ranching and ample supplies of hay are grown. The soil of Hooker County stands up well to lack of rain and in general good crops of grass for grazing are grown each year.

The Middle Loup and Dismal Rivers offer a supply of timber, fruit trees and bushes; the county was, originally, a haven for an abundant wildlife.
Original Inhabitants

The first people known to have roamed the area that includes the Sandhills of Nebraska were those of the Folson Culture. It existed between 15,000 to 10,000 years ago. Sites of the Folson Culture people have been found at the head of the North Loup, Niobrara, Elkhorn, Platte and Republican Rivers in Nebraska. The Niobrara and North Loup Rivers are in the Sandhills region of the state. The Yuma were the next people known to have inhabited the Sandhills region. Yuma Culture overlapped the Folson Culture and continued until around 5,000 years ago. The Old Signal Butte Culture came into existence about 5,000 years ago but these people were not known to have inhabited the Sandhills region. Between 500 A.D. and 1,300 A.D. three Indian Cultures were found in the Sandhills: the Sterns Creek, the Mira Creek and the Woodland Cultures. From 1,300 A.D. to 1,600 A.D. the Upper Republican Culture is found throughout the state. Sites in the Sandhills include one on the Loup River and on some of the Cherry County Lakes. From 1,600 A.D. to 1,800 A.D. the Dismal River Culture was found in the Sandhills, located near the Middle Loup, North Loup and the Dismal Rivers and in general is found in the west and southwest portions of the state.

After 1,800 A.D. the Pawnee and Sioux tribes claimed the land of the Sandhills as hunting grounds. The two tribes disputed various tracts between themselves. The Pawnee claimed the drainage area of the Loup River as their hunting grounds and camped near the mouth of the river. The Sioux claimed lands east to the forks of the Platte and north to the mouth of the White River in South Dakota as their hunting grounds. Both tribes depended on the bison, which roamed the Sandhills in vast numbers, as their primary source of food and raw
material. Between 1854 and 1876 all the territories that the Sioux and the Pawnee claimed as their hunting ground had been acquired by the U.S. Government through a series of treaties. The final treaty of 1876 opened up the entire Sandhills region to settlement.

Settlement of Nebraska

The area that was to become the State of Nebraska became a United States possession through the Louisiana Purchase in 1803. The first American exploration of the territory commenced on March 14, 1804 with the Lewis and Clark Expedition. Prior to its opening as a Territory for settlement, Nebraska was part of the vast area of land set aside for all Native Americans by an Act of Congress in 1834. Through a series of conflicts and treaties between the United States and the various Native American tribes of the region, the U.S. Government was able to acquire this area and open it to general settlement by the pioneers. The Nebraska Territory was established in 1854 and 13 years later gained statehood. Settlement generally moved across the state from the southeast to the northwest following the routes of the wagon trails, rivers and, later, the railroads. The development of the railroad was essential to the opening up of Nebraska lands to the tide of settlers.

From its opening in 1854 until 1862, settlement in Nebraska Territory was generally done under the provisions of the Pre-Emption Act of 1841. This act allowed a settler to file for up to 160 acres for a fixed price, generally $1.25 to $2.50 per acre. Settlement of Nebraska under this act was limited to
the southeast portions of the state and no settlement occurred under these provisions in Hooker County.

The Homestead Act of 1862, which became effective January 1, 1863, allowed a settler to acquire between 40 to 160 acres without paying the standard fee per acre to the federal government. This act did provide that the homesteader remain on the land for 5 years and meet a specified minimum level of improvements. It was under this act that the first settlement of the Sandhills occurred. In Hooker County the first homestead was filed in 1884. The homesteader was often faced with the problem of competition for land with the free-range ranchers who had been developing their enterprises without impediment for the past decade. Various areas experienced rather marked disputes between ranchers and homesteaders.

During the first two decades of the settlement of Nebraska (1855-1875), the Sandhills region was left largely unsettled and remained part of Nebraska’s unorganized territory. The entire region was known as Sioux County and any official business was conducted through Cheyenne County. By the late 1870’s and early 1880’s the area was beginning to experience its first major influx of settlers. The period of initial settlement, from 1880 to 1890, was one of generally good conditions and settlement increased at a steady rate. In the 1890’s, faced with drought, grasshopper plagues and economic depression, many settlers returned east or moved further west in search of better prospects, and the area experienced its first loss of population.

In 1903 Moses P. Kinkaid, then Nebraska Congressman, introduced an act to Congress that would allow the homesteader to file for up to 640 acres of land
as opposed to the usual 160 acres. This act specifically applied to the Sandhills region of Nebraska; also, it recognized that the Sandhills region did not lend itself to 160 acre farms or to the style of farming developed in other areas of Nebraska. The arid Sandhills required that a greater land area be utilized to provide the means for successful agricultural and/or ranching endeavors. The Kinkaid Act, which went into effect in January of 1904, required that the homesteader remain on the land for 5 years and produce $800 worth of improvements. The Act proved to be a boon to the Sandhills region bringing in its largest group of settlers to date. Various acts followed the Kinkaid Act which, combined with tolerable weather and good luck, brought about the permanent settlement and development of the Sandhills Region and Hooker County.

The Kinkaid Act was one of the most important elements in the settlement of the Sandhills region. The settlement period under the Kinkaid Act was from 1904 to 1920 with the Sandhills region experiencing its peak of migration, as well as its peak population, during that period. The eagerness of many immigrants and natives to acquire free land was intense and many were involved in a lottery that was held to disperse the government lands. While many of the Kinkaiders did not remain in the area permanently they did provide it with an economic boon which paved the way for the establishment of private ranches and farms in the Sandhills. Another effect of the Kinkaid Act was the development of federal law suits against many large ranchers who had illegally fenced in government lands. In a roundabout way the Kinkaid Act allowed for large ranches to be established legally in the region as ranchers bought out disillusioned homesteaders. The period between 1900 and 1930 was a prosperous
one for the Sandhills and the state in general. Improvements were made in transportation, education, agricultural and government. Populations across the state reached peaks throughout this period with the exception of the eastern counties and those with major communities.

The decade of the Great Depression, the 1930's, was hard on the Sandhills counties as well as for the entire state. Many of the Kinkaiders left the area at this time. While this was an opportunity for the ranchers to acquire more land, overall economic conditions were rough. The population in the Sandhills was in steady declined from the 1930's until the 1960's when it stabilized. In the 1980's the region is stable and exploring ways to expand its economic base.

County History

Hooker County was created by an Act of the Nebraska Legislature on March, 29, 1889, out of the unorganized Nebraska Territory west of Thomas County. Like all the counties in the Sandhills, Hooker County was originally part of the unorganized Nebraska Territory known as Sioux County which included all of the unorganized territory north from Cheyenne County, west to the Wyoming Territory and north to Dakota Territory. Sioux County was attached to Cheyenne County for revenue and other official purposes.

Settlement of Hooker County began in 1884 when three families located on the banks of the Dismal River prior to the organization of the county. However, before this time the land of the county had been used by ranchers who grazed their cattle and some of whom fenced in government land. The settlement of Hooker County was very sparse prior to the passage of the Kinkaid Act in 1904.
This was in part due to the fact that settlers had to travel extensive
distances to get supplies as there were no towns or railroad stations nearby.
The other factor inhibiting the settlement of the county was the unsuitability
of the area for supporting an agricultural endeavors on 160 acres.

In 1887 the Grand Island and Wyoming Railway Company completed a line
through the county. The route was through the northern fourth of the county
travelling east-west; it is the only line through the county and is now a
Burlington line. The only communities to survive in Hooker County are located
on the railroad line.

Perhaps more important to the settlement of Hooker County than the
railroad was the passage of the Kindaid Act in 1904. The Act, as previously
noted, allowed a settler to file a homestead claim of 640 acres. A few years
after the Act went into effect most of Hooker County had been settled. However,
this did not mean that the county ever developed a large population; instead it
remained one of the least populated counties in Nebraska.

Transportation was an early problem in Hooker County as in other Sandhills
counties. With only the one railroad line through the county, transportation
of goods and people remained difficult. The early county roads did not follow
the usual grid pattern found throughout most of the midwest and Nebraska. The
contours of the Sandhills and its soil composition made this impossible. These
roads, known as pasture roads, followed the contours of the hills and valleys
of the county and were little more than cattle trails. To keep the roads
passable they were covered with hay or manure since grading was not feasible.

In 1917 a group known as the Potash Highway Association was formed by
citizens between Broken Bow and Alliance and an east-west road was built through the county. By 1940 the county had adequate roads in east-west directions but the north-south roads were still inadequate (Who's Who in Nebraska, 1940, pp. 578). Bridge building began early in the county with one being constructed over the North Loup River, north of Mullen, in 1890. Two years later a bridge south of Mullen was completed over the Dismal River.

**Hooker County Communities**

Mullen, the only town in the county, is a small community that had a 1960 peak population of 811 residents. At the turn of the century, the town boasted a bank, two general stores, one newspaper, two saloons, two livery stables and about a dozen residences. The original court house, built in 1889, was a small two-room frame structure with a nearby jail house constructed of two-by-fours. In 1916 the county built a new brick court house with a full basement. The old court house was sold to a local resident who then moved it across the street and turned it into a dwelling, converting the former jail into a garage.

When Hooker County was established the county was divided into seven school districts. The counties population however, was never large enough to support these districts. The schoolhouse in Mullen burned in 1903 and the district did not have a large enough population to support a bond to finance a new structure. At the time the districts were reorganized so that a $4,000 bond could be issued and a four room schoolhouse was built. After the Kinkaid Act went into effect in 1904, the population of the County increased but large distances still remained between families and the schools. The district
established schools in any area with five or more children of school age. At one time there were 22 schools in the county. By 1940 there were only ten remaining schools in the rural area. In a remarkable solution to the problem, several of the remaining schools were mounted on wheels and periodically moved as the population shifted. In 1916, a high school was built in Mullen with an addition constructed in 1929. Mullen also built a new grade school in the late 1930s.

Other communities were established in Hooker County although none were incorporated. Three communities were established on the railroad line west of Mullen: Helca, with a post office established in 1887 and discontinued in 1908; Kelso, located west of Mullen, was no more than a station on the railroad line; and Weir also little more than a railroad station. Other post offices were established throughout the county but were located in individual rural homes rather than in communities: Abby, established in 1891 and discontinued in 1894; Cresent, established and discontinued in 1911; Donald, established in 1907 and discontinued in 1922; Dunwell, established in 1909 and discontinued in 1934; and Eclipse, established in 1905 and discontinued in 1923.

Ethnic Groups and Population Trends

Hooker County has never had a large population and its current (1980) population does not exceed 1000 persons. The 1890 census, the first for the county indicated a population of 426 people. Of that amount 15 were of foreign birth coming from either Germany, England, Scandinavia, the Netherlands, or Canada. In 1900 the county's overall population remained steady at 432 people
with the number of foreign-born citizens increasing to 58. The majority of these immigrants, thirty-seven, were from the British Isles.

In 1910 the population of the Hooker County was listed as 981 people, this reflected the influx of settlers due to the passage of the Kinkaid Act. The foreign-born population remained steady at 54: of these 54 immigrants the majority came from the British Isles (17), Scandinavia (10), Central Europe including France, Germany and Austria (17) and Canada (3) with 6 people of unknown origins.

Hooker County's population peaked in 1920 with 1,378 in residence. The foreign-born population, however, dropped to 44 persons with the majority again from the British Isles, Scandinavia and Central Europe. From 1930 to 1950 the population of the county as a whole remained steady while the foreign-born population decreased. In 1930 the county had 1,180 persons; of these 32 were of foreign birth including four persons from Czechoslovakia. The 1940 statistics indicated a foreign born population of 30 persons out of a total population of 1,253 persons. By 1950 the foreign born population reached an all time low of 13 persons out of a population of 1,061 citizens. From 1960 to 1980 the population of the county has remained at around 1000 people with 1,130 people in 1960, 939 people in 1970 and 990 people in 1980.

The foreign-born population was never a large percentage of the overall population of Hooker County. In general, the Hooker County area did not experience a large influx of settlers into the area during any of the immigration booms of the late 1880's. Many of the settlers who did come to the county after 1900 were the sons and daughters of immigrants who had previously
settled in the United States and the midwest. The overall population of the county was drawn from European stock. There were no specifically ethnic communities established in Hooker County.

Agriculture and Ranching

Hooker County is primarily a ranching county with some land set aside for the production of wild hay and a few crops. The county is located in the heart of the Sandhills Range Livestock Production Agricultural Region (NeSHPO Topical Listing, 08.08) with feeder cattle as its main product. In 1928, fully 80 percent of the land in the county was being used for pastures with 11 percent in hay cultivation. The county ranches tend to be large; in 1925 over 40 percent comprised 1,000 or more acres.

Hooker County depends predominantly on the cattle industry with very little other agricultural activity in the county. Always sizable, as time has passed the ranches have grown even larger through extensive consolidation. The land of the county is well suited to the range ranching which has developed in Hooker County.

Final Comments

The development of Hooker County has depended primarily on the cattle ranching industry. The effects of the 1904 Kinkaid Act and resulting influx of settlers brought the county to a level of permanent settlement. The life-styles of the residents of the county continue to be shaped by the areas' agricultural opportunities. Rural homes are far apart and transportation has become an
important aspect of the development of the County. Since the 1960's, small aircraft has proved useful to ranch families and organizations in the County. As its past was tied to the cattle industry so too, is Hooker County's future.
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GENERAL SUMMARY OF SURVEY RESULTS

Any discussion which analyzes the quality or volume of the surveyed properties in Hooker County must include a summary of the environmental conditions which predominate the county. The results of the Historic Buildings Survey are directly linked to the natural environment which existed when the first permanent settlers constructed their domestic and agricultural shelters. The environmental conditions encountered by the settlers of the early 1880's have, in general, changed very little. Therefore, by examining the environmental conditions which exist today, one can gain a better understanding of the factors affecting the creation of the historic built environment in Hooker County.

Hooker County lies in the west central portion of the Nebraska Sandhills. The Sandhills region is a vast and picturesque area of land which stretches across much of northwest and north central Nebraska. It is this topographic type that comprises Hooker County.

The Sandhills have been appropriately named for they consist primarily of hilly dunes of sand stabilized by grassy covers. The beauty of this area, however, is often deceptive for the Sandhills can be a harsh and overpowering environment for both human and animal habitation. The historic built environment of Hooker County can be viewed as a physical extension of the climatic and geographic conditions within the Sandhills. The physical creation of human and animal shelters were determined by the environment in which those original builders lived. The number and
survivability of the historically built properties were, and continue to be, at the mercy of the land and climate. Not only were the number of properties built during the settlement period relatively low, but many of these buildings have failed to survive the harsh climate of the Sandhills. Of those buildings which did survive, many lack historic integrity due to alteration or deterioration.

In light of the environmental makeup of Hooker County, the numerical results of the survey were not anticipated to be staggering and indeed they were not. In fact, only 77 total properties were documented within the 720 square miles which constitute Hooker County. The breakdown of these 77 properties includes 152 contributing buildings, 4 contributing structures, 22 contributing objects, 1 contributing sites, and only 12 properties judged potentially eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. Compounding the problem of limited resources is the fact that Hooker County was historically a very sparsely populated county. Indeed, the greatest population base existed between 1920 and 1930, when the totals reached a mere 1,378 people. In 1980, Hooker County was home to only 990 persons which ranked it 91st among 93 Nebraska counties for total population. This 1980 total translates to a sparse density of 1.3 persons per square mile.

Also indicative of the declining population base are the statistics recorded by the agricultural census of 1910, 1935, and 1982, which delineate the number of farms within Hooker County for each of these years. In 1910 there existed 240 operative farmsteads within the 720 square miles contained by the county. This translates to one farm/ranch for every 3.0 square miles of
land in the county. The average farm size in Hooker County in this year was already 705 acres versus the statewide norm of only 297 acres. This indicates that the initial permanent settlement of Hooker County in terms of population and distribution was relatively small and dispersed. By 1935, these figures had changed significantly. At that time there were only 158 operating farms (one per 4.6 square miles) which represents a post-Depression drop of 34%. Likewise, by 1982 there were only 59 farms left in Hooker County. This represents a 75% decline in the number of operating farmsteads within the 72-year period from 1910 to 1982. This is a devastating reduction--one that was clearly reflected in the low numerical results of the survey and subsequent lack of potentially eligible National Register properties.

In general summary, the Historic Buildings Survey of Hooker County may be viewed as a direct physical extension of the environmental climate of the Nebraska Sandhills. This environment has dictated the agricultural and economic practices which it will allow and has forced the builders of human and animal shelters to adapt accordingly.
A Topical Discussion and Preliminary Inventory of Hooker County Historic Properties

The following discussion consists of a topical summary and Preliminary Inventory of the historic properties documented during the Hooker County Historic Buildings Survey. This discussion is arranged according to the Topical Listing of Historic Contexts authored by the Nebraska State Historic Preservation Office (1988). It includes discussion only of those properties potentially eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). Included at the end of each summary is an illustrated inventory of properties which appear potentially eligible for National Register listing in reference to the Historic Context being discussed.

Historic Context: Religion

The contextual topic of religion encompasses any cultural manifestation relative to the faithful devotion of an acknowledged deity. This includes any social entity relating to sacred organizations and rituals or considered a sacred place. In terms of historic buildings and structures, this includes churches, parsonage/rectories, cemeteries, fellowship halls, and schools. The Historic Buildings Survey of Hooker County recorded only two religious related properties with a corresponding total of three contributing buildings, one contributing site, and two contributing objects. These two documented properties consist of two churches, one outbuilding, one cemetery, one grouping of cedar trees, and one gate.

The comparatively low number of religious properties recorded by the survey is the result of a combination of factors. One of the most consistent factors has been the lack of a sizable population. The peak in population occurred in 1920 with 1,378 residents and Hooker County currently has only one
extant community, Mullen (HO02), which recorded a peak population of 811 in 1960. The lack of a substantial population base has resulted in the recording of only 22 rural-based and 54 town-based properties in Hooker County. In addition to this, the practical Sand Hills method of adapting older buildings to new uses has altered the historic integrity of a portion of the extant properties. A final hypothesis for the lack of surveyed religious properties is related to the marked population increase of Mullen during the 1950’s and 1960’s. In order to accommodate this increase, one of the two extant churches in Mullen which met the fifty year age criteria has constructed non-contributing additions to the north and south facades of their c.1910 church building. Despite the obvious necessity for these changes, the historic integrity of the building has been altered and the property was not surveyed.

Of the two religious properties recorded by the Historic Buildings Survey of Hooker County, the Eclipse Church (HO00-8), was considered potentially eligible for the National Register Of Historic Places and is illustrated on the following page.
NeHBS NUMBER: HO00-8
DATE: 1885
RESOURCE NAME: Eclipse Church & Cemetery
HISTORIC CONTEXT: Religion: (02.08.02)
PROPERTY TYPE: Church (02.1.4), Cemetery (02.3.1)
LOCATION: NE1/4, NW1/4, Sec. 28, T 21 N, R 34 W
TOTAL CONTRIBUTING BUILDINGS: 2 STRUCTURES: 0 SITES: 1 OBJECTS: 2

This Hall-Type church and cemetery are included in the Preliminary Inventory as well-preserved examples of early twentieth-century religious worship in the rural Sand Hills of southwest Hooker County.
Historic Context: Government

The contextual topic of Government Systems encompasses the art or science of established government as well as competition between interest groups for leadership of local, state, or national government. Historic buildings which may relate to this topic include most government-related structures necessary to the political operation of communities.

In consideration of the fact that Hooker County contains a relatively low population base and only one extant community, it is somewhat understandable that the Hooker County Courthouse (H002-10) was the only property under this context documented by the Hooker County Historic Buildings Survey. This property occupies one city block in the county seat of Mullen and consists of a centrally located brick courthouse, former library, and established landscaping. Non-contributing additions to the site consist of the new city library and playground area. Despite the additions to the Courthouse grounds, the Hooker County Courthouse was judged potentially eligible for the National Register of Historic Places and is illustrated in the inventory below. In addition to the reconnaissance level documentation performed by Save America's Heritage, the Hooker County Courthouse was also included in the Nebraska Courthouse Survey presently being undertaken through the State Historic Preservation Office.
NeHBS NUMBER: HO02-10
DATE: 1912
RESOURCE NAME: Hooker County Courthouse
HISTORIC CONTEXT: Government: (04.06)
PROPERTY TYPE: Courthouse (04.1.7)
LOCATION: WS Cleveland Ave bet Railroad & 11 St, Mullen
TOTAL CONTRIBUTING BUILDINGS: 2    STRUCTURES: 0
SITE: 0   OBJECTS: 1

Included in the Preliminary Inventory for its importance as the seat of county-based government and the retention of historic integrity. Also included in the survey of Nebraska courthouses currently in progress through the NeSHPO.
The contextual topic of Education encompasses any act or process which imparts or aids in the acquisition of knowledge. This title is quite self-explanatory with primary emphasis focused upon the components of schooling and enrichment. Historic buildings which fit this context are, among others, libraries, museums, and various forms or levels of schools.

The Historic Buildings Survey of Hooker County recorded a total of two properties relating to the context of Education. These two properties each consist of frame rural-based schoolhouses employing the rectangular hall-type form. Of these two properties, the Maire School (HO00-17) located in eastern Hooker County was considered potentially eligible for listing in the National Register and is therefore included in the Preliminary Inventory. The relatively lower number of historic properties eligible for reconnaissance level survey in Hooker County is primarily due to a historically low population base. Because of the small number of rural school-age children, it is not feasible to continue the operation of the schoolhouses located in the outlying regions of the county. The alternative solution has been to bus these children to the nearest operating school whether it be in Hooker County or one of the neighboring counties. Consequently, there has been a decline in the number of rural school facilities as the historically-built structures are either abandoned or relocated to ranches and adapted to agriculture related functions.
NeHBS NUMBER: HO00-17
DATE: c.1900
RESOURCE NAME: Maire School
HISTORIC CONTEXT: Education: (06.01)
PROPERTY TYPE: School (06.3.1.1)
LOCATION: SW 1/4, NW 1/4, Sec. 21, T.23 N., R.33 W.
TOTAL CONTRIBUTING BUILDINGS: 1 STRUCTURES: 0 SITES: 0 OBJECTS: 0

A well preserved example of the Hall-Type schoolhouse constructed in an effort to establish a rural educational system during early twentieth-century life in the Sand Hills of Hooker County.
Historic Context: Agriculture

Hooker County lies in the geographic zone known as the Sand Hills. This area is unique to Nebraska and is composed of hilly land of low to high sand dunes, stabilized by grasses. The nature of this sandy region yields little in terms of cultivated crops. Instead, the Sand Hills region has become an area devoted to range livestock production. Most land has remained uncultivated and serves as grassland ranges for the cattle. Of the 22 documented properties surveyed in rural Hooker County, four are worthy of inclusion in the Preliminary Inventory for their relation to the Sand Hills Range Livestock Production Historic Context. These totals appear consistent with other contextual findings in Hooker County in that a sparse population has resulted in a smaller pool of historic structures potentially eligible for a minimum of reconnaissance level survey.

The ranches documented by the survey are collectively viewed as an important historic resource for the state of Nebraska. They reflect early settlement in the Sand Hills region and provide a vast economic contribution to the state. The majority of these ranches have seen the affects of changing times. Many of the original dwellings and outbuildings have been abandoned and many lack historic integrity. Of the four ranches included in the Preliminary Inventory there exists a total of 17 contributing buildings, two contributing structures, and six contributing objects. Two potentially eligible properties include abandoned sod houses. One other property remains abandoned as a whole.
NeHBS NUMBER: HO00-4
DATE: c.1930
COMMON NAME: Ranch
HISTORIC CONTEXT: Agriculture: (08.08)
PROPERTY TYPE: Ranch (08.1)
LOCATION: NE 1/4, NE 1/4, Sec. 33, T 21 N, R 32 W
TOTAL CONTRIBUTING BUILDINGS: 2
STRUCTURES: 0
SITES: 0
OBJECTS: 2

Included in the Preliminary Inventory for the presence of two well preserved sod house buildings constructed during the 1930's and 1940's and an established tree acreage.
NeHBS NUMBER: HO00-20
DATE: c.1908
COMMON NAME: Ranch
HISTORIC CONTEXT: Agriculture: (08.08)
PROPERTY TYPE: Ranch (08.1)
LOCATION: SW 1/4, SW 1/4, Sec. 27, T 23 N, R 34 W
TOTAL CONTRIBUTING BUILDINGS: 2 STRUCTURES: 0
SITES: 0 OBJECTS: 0

Sand Hills Range Livestock Producing ranch included in the Preliminary Inventory primarily for the suspected presence of an early twentieth-century sod house.
NeHBS NUMBER: H000-21
DATE: C.1915
COMMON NAME: Ranch
HISTORIC CONTEXT: Agriculture: (08.08)
PROPERTY TYPE: Ranch (08.1)
LOCATION: SE 1/4, SE 1/4, Sec. 29, T 23 N, R 34 W
TOTAL CONTRIBUTING BUILDINGS: 6 STRUCTURES: 0
SITES: 0 OBJECTS: 3

Selected for the Preliminary Inventory on the suspected presence of a partially underground sod house and for association with Sand Hills Range Livestock Production.
NeHBS NUMBER: HO00-9
DATE: c.1885
COMMON NAME: Abandoned Ranch
HISTORIC CONTEXT: Agriculture: (08.08)
PROPERTY TYPE: Ranch (08.1)
LOCATION: SW 1/4, SE 1/4, Sec. 30, T 21 N, R 34 W
TOTAL CONTRIBUTING BUILDINGS: 7  STRUCTURES: 2
SITES: 0  OBJECTS: 1

Potential significance derived through an association with Sand Hills Range Livestock Production and the possible relation to Kinkaid Act settlement properties.
Historic Context: Commerce

Typically, historic properties relating to the theme of Commerce often constitute a large percentage of the buildings recorded in a reconnaissance level survey. However, based on the pattern of findings from other northern Sand Hills counties, a large volume of historic commercial properties was not anticipated. Unfortunately, this hypothesis proved correct as only nine commerce related properties were recorded by the survey in Hooker County. The lack of a large number of historic commerce properties is attributed to the small population base of the county. In fact, Hooker County historically contained just two communities, Hecla and Mullen, that combined for a 1920 peak population of 892 persons. Of these two communities, only Mullen has survived to the present day. The non-extant hamlet of Hecla was located ten miles west of Mullen and served the transient railroad community. The population of this community never reached over eleven persons except when it served as the temporary home of the railroaders who subsequently tripled the population. During Hecla's more prosperous times, there existed two businesses, one school, one hotel and the railroad shipping yards. Today all that remains is a portion of the shipping yards. In contrast to this, the county seat of Mullen has been able to maintain a healthy economic base. The 1950's and 1960's were particularly important for Mullen. During this time, an increase in population necessitated the expansion of the residential and commercial base of the community. Mullen's main street still provides a variety of operating businesses. Of the nine Commerce properties worthy of reconnaissance survey, eight are located the central business district. Unfortunately, this vital main street also has seen the effects of change to the historic character of the
buildings. The alteration of materials on commerce related historic buildings greatly changes the continuity and aesthetic of historic business districts. This contributes to many buildings lacking the historic integrity of their original construction.

Of the nine commercial properties recorded by the Historic Buildings Survey of Hooker County, two were judged potentially eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. The first of these properties is the former Ham's Hotel located in Mullen, (HO02-39, c.1914). This property consists of a well preserved two-story brick building and is related to the single block hotel property type. This building is the present day location of the Hooker County Historical Society and Museum. The second property included in the Preliminary Inventory is the Sandhill's Motel (HO02-55, c.1940), which is still in operation. Located on Highway 2, this complex of cabins is a result of the automobiles early popularity and provides an interesting comparison with the former Ham's Hotel. Despite the provision of identical services to their patrons, the introduction of the automobile and highway road system resulted in a vastly different interpretation of building form and scale. The former Ham's Hotel grew out of the early twentieth-century railroad transportation era and projects a larger and perhaps stately image from it's location in the central business district of Mullen. However, with the popularity of the automobile in the second, third and fourth decades of the twentieth-century, a new form type for lodging emerged and was employed in the construction of the Sandhill's Motel. This new form consisted of small scale rectangular cabins arranged in an extended lineal pattern. The composition of this layout was an attempt to use the building form and scale as a means of
relating to the high-speed thoroughfare of nearby Highway 2. Each property provided the same service but in methods that were unique to the mobility of the society in which they were created. Both are viewed as important historic resources associated with commercial activities in Hooker County and stand as examples of changing fashion.
NeHBS NUMBER: HO02-39
DATE: c.1914
RESOURCE NAME: Ham's Hotel
HISTORIC CONTEXT: Commerce: (12)
PROPERTY TYPE: Hotel (12.3.1)
LOCATION: NEC 1st & Lincoln Sts, Mullen
TOTAL CONTRIBUTING BUILDINGS: 1  STRUCTURES: 0
SITES: 0  OBJECTS: 0

Two-story brick hotel selected as a well preserved example of an early twentieth-century single block hotel property type with association to the context of Commerce.
NeHBS NUMBER: H002-55
DATE: c.1940
RESOURCE NAME: Sandhills Motel
HISTORIC CONTEXT: Commerce: (12)
PROPERTY TYPE: Motel (12.3.2)
LOCATION: SWC Laird St & Highway 2, Mullen
TOTAL CONTRIBUTING BUILDINGS: 10  STRUCTURES: 0
  SITES: 0  OBJECTS: 0

Included in the Preliminary Inventory for its association to the Historic Context of Commerce. Despite the relocated cabins, this group of small scale rectangular buildings retains the character of the roadside aesthetic.
Historic Context: Services

The Historic Context of Services, as defined by the NeSHPO, consists of the primary support services provided or controlled by government and all professional private sector services as well. Related sub-topics of this context include Public Utilities, Private Utilities, Health Care, Professional Services, Banking, and Financial Services.

The Historic Buildings Survey of Hooker County produced only one property with association to this context. This lone example however, is not only unique to the Sand Hills region but may also be unique within the state of Nebraska. This property, the former Jewel Diner (HO02-1), consists of a small prefabricated aluminum-clad building located adjacent the primary thoroughfare of Nebraska Highway 2. This 1930's roadside establishment shows the diversity of property types available under the Context of Service. The Jewel Diner's streamline-moderne design, functional concept and method of construction provide an insight into an architectural vogue in our nation's history. Unfortunately, the Jewel Diner has been abandoned for some time and its future remains uncertain. Despite the encroachment of non-contributing additions, the Jewel Diner has been judged potentially eligible for listing in the National Register and is illustrated on the following page.
NeHBS NUMBER: HO02-1
DATE: c.1937
RESOURCE NAME: Jewel Diner
HISTORIC CONTEXT: Service: (15)
PROPERTY TYPE: Diner (15.2.3)
LOCATION: N.S. Highway 2 Bet. Blaine & Laird Sts, Mullen
TOTAL CONTRIBUTING BUILDINGS: 1  STRUCTURES: 0
SITES: 0  OBJECTS: 0

Potentially eligible for National Register listing for its contribution to the study of roadside vernacular architecture and as an important example from the early development of modern dining establishments.
Historic Context: Settlement Systems

Settlement systems is the broad contextual title encompassing the division, acquisition, and ownership of land. This theme also contains the patterns generated through political, religious, or commercial activities to facilitate the establishment of cultural systems. Not surprisingly, this context contained the greatest number of documented properties within Hooker County. Of the 78 total properties recorded in Hooker County, 39 or 50% fall within the theme of Settlement Systems. Of these 39 properties, two were ranch dwellings located in the rural environs and the remaining 37 were single-family houses located in the community of Mullen. These figures represent the lowest total of Settlement System properties within the nine county study area. The primary factor contributing to the limited number of properties was the extremely sparse population base of Hooker County. Mullen is the only extant community in Hooker County and it's peak population occurred in 1960 with only 811 residents. In addition to this, many dwellings lack integrity as a direct result from later additions and alterations. Changes made to these properties are severe enough to disturb the original or historic character of the 50 year and older properties.

Dates on catalogued properties included houses dating from the 1890's to the 1940's. The majority of the 39 settlement system properties appeared in two basic categories: (1) the simple, unadorned vernacular house and (2) the Bungalow style house. Very few "high-style" houses were observed. Only two of the 39 catalogued properties were considered worthy of potential listing in the National Register of Historic Places and are illustrated on the following pages.
A modest but well preserved house included in the Preliminary Inventory for the locally rare use of clay tile wall construction in residential property types.
NeHBS NUMBER: HO02-33
DATE: c.1938
COMMON NAME: House
HISTORIC CONTEXT: Settlement Systems: (16.01)
PROPERTY TYPE: Single family dwelling (16.5.1)
LOCATION: 502 First St, Mullen
TOTAL CONTRIBUTING BUILDINGS: 2
STRUCTURES: 0
SITES: 0
OBJECTS 0

Significant as a representative of the "bungaloid" and the influence of West Coast architecture in the Sand Hills. Additional importance derived through association with the numerically significant Supratype 13.
SAND HILLS RANGE LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION

Introduction

Nebraska is a state of great diversity. Diversities exist among its people, its lands, its topography and, of more immediate concern to this discussion, its agriculture. The agricultural diversity of the state of Nebraska has been forged through one hundred years of adaptation and evolution in a land once labeled the "Great American Desert". Since that time, the "desert" waste of Nebraska has been transformed into some of the most productive farm and ranch land in the United States.

The pattern for the diverse agricultural setting in Nebraska was primarily established between 1870 and 1930. The study of the various agricultural practices in Nebraska was first addressed in the 1930's by scientists from the University of Nebraska College of Agriculture. Their efforts focused upon the
definition of "system-of-farming areas" and were published in Research Bulletins issued by the College of Agriculture Experiment Station. The two specific bulletins which focus on the definition of the types-of-farming areas are Research Bulletin Number 244, *Types of Farming in Nebraska*, by Harold Hedges and F.F. Elliott (May 1930), and Research Bulletin Number 299, *Factors determining Type of Farming Areas in Nebraska*, by L.F. Garey (May 1936). The conclusions derived by these authors regarding the spatial distribution of the farming areas has generally held true to the present day as evidenced by the conclusions of James Williams and Doug Murfield in their *Agricultural Atlas of Nebraska*, (University of Nebraska Press, 1977).

The term type-of-farming is used to describe a group of farms which are similar in size and enterprise combination. In this way, a group of farms having the same kind, quantity, and proportion of crops and livestock may be said to be following the same type of farming (Hedges and Elliott, pp. 3). Likewise, the term type-of-farming area, refers to an area within which there is a high degree of uniformity in the agricultural production and in the physical and economic conditions under which production takes place (Hedges and Elliott, p. 3).

The boundaries of the type areas defined by the above-mentioned authors do not conform to the county boundaries imposed by state government. Instead, Figure 5 shows a more accurate division of the state into nine regions which are distinct from each other in the nature of their cropping and livestock systems (Hedges and Elliott, p. 21). These nine regions have been designated
as type-of-farming areas and have been individually labeled with titles indicating both predominant agriculture and geography.

Fig. 5. Type-of-Farming regions for the state of Nebraska.

The nine areas defined by Hedges and Elliott are; 1). the Northeast Nebraska Intensive Livestock Production Area, 2). the Southeastern General Farming Area, 3). the Southern Cash Grain and Livestock Area, 4). the Central Corn and Livestock Area, 5). the Central Hay and Livestock Area, the Sand Hill Cattle Ranching Area, 7). the Southwestern Wheat Area, 8). the High Plains Small Grain and Grazing Area, 9). the Irrigated Area.

No sharply defined boundary lines can be drawn between the areas. In most cases, the transition from one area to another is gradual. The differences lie mainly in the dominant enterprises and their relative importance in the
farming systems (Hedges and Elliott, p. 22).

As evidenced by the map illustrated in Figure number 5, the type-of-farming area which blankets the northern Nebraska Sand Hills Historic Buildings Survey area has been defined as the Sand Hills Cattle Ranching Area. The historical development of the Sand Hills cattle ranching industry is marked by a long and often colorful set of events and people. However, the historical summary of the Sand Hills Cattle Ranching industry has been deferred to a later point in an effort to focus upon the fundamental definition of this farming-type and the resulting set of historic buildings associated with its production.
The Sand Hills Cattle Ranching area is located in the north-central and northwest portions of the state, (Figure 6). This area extends northward from the Platte River Valley of western Nebraska to the South Dakota border and varies in width from 100 to 200 miles. One of the fundamental characteristics of this area is the extremely large proportion of land devoted to the grazing of cattle. True to its name, the Sand Hills Cattle Ranching Area includes the
Sand Hills region of Nebraska which is the largest soil and topographic region in the state (Garey 1936, p.27). In fact, Nebraska's Sand Hills cover approximately one-fourth of the state and comprise the most extensive dune formation in the western hemisphere (Madson 1978, p.493). The Sand Hills country is characterized by low-lying dunes of sand covered by native grasses suitable for the grazing of cattle. There is little surface drainage due to the porous nature of the sandy soil. The vast dunes of the Sand hills lie above large aquifers which, in certain areas, reach a depth of one-thousand feet. Between the porous hills are numerous basins which sometimes widen into larger valleys. In many of these valleys the high water table has risen above the surface of the land and formed marshes, ponds, and lakes. The effect of the high water table is most evident in the presence of the 13,000 lakes scattered on the landscape of the north and west regions of the Sand Hills (Madson 1978, p. 499).

The soils of the Sand Hills region are exceptionally fine in texture and extremely susceptible to blowing. For this reason, it is the goal of the cattle producers to maintain the native grass covers which prevent "blow-outs" of the dune tops and provide the necessary supplements for grazing. Due to the presence of the sandy soils, the Sand Hills Cattle Ranching Area is not conducive to the production of cultivated crops. In 1936, only eight-percent of the area was deemed suitable for cultivation (Garey 1936, p.49). Only four percent of the entire region was classified as containing good soils and much of those areas were located along the river and streambeds (Garey 1936, p.49).

Given such environmental conditions it is easy to understand why the land
is utilized principally for the grazing of cattle. With more than seventy-five percent of the land in pasture and the majority of the remaining lands utilized for hay production, the cattle enterprise is the logical choice as the farming type in this region (Hedges and Elliott, p.27). Hay, in combination with limited amounts of other grains provides the winter feed necessary for breeding herds. Since the grasses of the Sand Hills are not primarily suitable for the production of grass-fat cattle, these breeding herds are maintained and the young cattle from the area are typically sent to the corn belt region prior to final shipment to market (Hedges and Elliott, p.59).

The Sand Hills Cattle Ranching Area, therefore, is essentially a cattle-raising area. The economic base is almost entirely from the sale of cattle with a small proportion coming from crop and dairy products. To illustrate the exclusivity of the Sand Hills as a cattle-raising region, data assembled by Hedges and Elliott showing the utilization of land area in the region from 1899 to 1928 has been illustrated below in Table 2.

Table 2: Utilization of Land in the Sand Hills Cattle Ranching Area, 1899-1928.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Utilization</th>
<th>1899</th>
<th>1909</th>
<th>1919</th>
<th>1924</th>
<th>1928</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cultivated Area</td>
<td>.5%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild Hay</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pastures</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
<td>63.2%</td>
<td>66.4%</td>
<td>80.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land not in farms</td>
<td>84.3%</td>
<td>47.5%</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In reviewing this table, we see that the predominant trend among ranches in the Sand Hills was the increase of pasture land for the grazing of cattle. In fact, with the exception of the High Plains Small Grain and Grazing Area, by 1928 all other areas in the state had at least a double-digit split between cultivated acres and pastured lands. The only region to display a dominance in a singular agricultural type (in this case cattle) was the Sand Hills Cattle Ranching Area. Therefore, comparatively speaking, no other single agricultural type is as important to its respective region as the cattle industry is to the Sand Hills Ranching Area.

Property Types of the Sand Hills Cattle Ranching Area

In the property type discussions previously generated for the NeSHPO regarding ethnic-related contexts, the buildings of discussion were organized according to the separation of Old World and New World traditions. Unfortunately, the study of buildings associated with the Sand Hills Cattle Ranching do not lend themselves to this conceptual separation. While it is acknowledged that the design and arrangement of farm buildings elsewhere in Nebraska may have been influenced considerably by cultural traditions, the buildings of the Sand Hills Cattle Ranching Area are generally void of a significant ethnic influence. This is in part due to the minimal influx of foreign-born immigrants as well as the overall lack of a population base capable of transferring ethnically-associated building characteristics. Even if the potential for variances in cultural design were a valid concept in the Sand Hills Ranching Area, all cattle production requires a basic group of
animal and human-related structures. The compulsory provision of food, shelter, and land was required regardless of ethnic influences. Based on this attitude, the following property type discussion will simply focus upon the basic structures associated with sand hills cattle ranching.

The sand hills ranching system requires a variety of buildings and skills necessary for the successful management of significant numbers of cattle. Ranches which have retained their pre-mechanization buildings will have a variety of structures important to the reconnaissance level survey. The buildings found on the Sand Hills cattle ranches are similar in some respects to those found on the Intensive Livestock Production farms of northeast Nebraska. The buildings, structures and objects of common reference are: cattle barns, cattle loafing sheds, implement sheds, cattle fencing systems, windmills, windbreaks and the occasional small-scale corn crib. In addition to these animal-related structures, a set of domestic oriented buildings are also found in each of these regions: the ranch or farm house, wash houses, privies, cellars, milk houses, smoke houses, gardens, and chicken houses.

However, it is more significant at this time to note the additional set of buildings found exclusive to the Nebraska Sand Hills Cattle Ranching Area. All of the buildings mentioned in this category were constructed to either satisfy the management needs of the cattle themselves or to shelter the activities of the ranch hands employed in handling the herds. The buildings associated with the labor force include: bunkhouses for sleeping quarters, saddle sheds for the storage of riding equipment, cookhouses to prepare large group meals, and commissaries for the serving of those meals.
The specific structures or objects created exclusively for the handling of cattle include; dipping stations for the cleaning and health maintenance of cattle, vaccination/branding stanchions for the immunization and identification of stock, breaking pens for the implementation of working stock, sorting pens for the management and separation of bulls, calves and heifers, holding corrals usually located in outlying pasture land, and loading chutes for the eventual shipping of sale-bound cattle.

In addition to the fact that there exists a unique set of structures exclusive to Sand Hills cattle ranching, it is also important to note that the visual characteristics of a Sand Hills ranch are also unique in comparison with other type-of-farming areas in Nebraska. The overpowering presence of the Sand Hills landscape immediately imparts a mentality of no-nonsense survival tempered by intriguing beauty. The most visually and emotionally accurate description of a Sand Hills ranch read by the author to date is found in John Madson’s adventure
to the Abbott Ranch in west-central Cherry County (National Geographic, Oct., 1978).

From the main highway the road back to the ranch is nine tough miles of ruts and sand traps. The farther you go, the more you wonder where you made the wrong turn. Suddenly, around the shoulder of a high ridge, there is ranch headquarters. The main house, in a grove of cottonwoods and box elders, is 67 years old, high-ceilinged and spacious. Nearby stand the bunkhouse and cookhouse, and set into the side of the ridge is a combination butcher shop, creamery, and commissary that can feed the ranch crew for two months if blizzards close the road.

Across the ranch yard, a blacksmith forge and machine shop are equipped to serve either horse or tractor. Beyond the pens, corrals, loading chutes, and dipping tanks lies a broad, sheltered valley with herds of wintering cattle. There is a certain quality about a working ranch like this; it is a window into yesterday, with something unchanged since before the ranges were fenced.

And it is indeed true that the appearance of a Sand Hills ranch nestled among billowing hills imparts an ageless "old west" quality. A quality perhaps derived in part from its early beginnings as an outgrowth of the Texas cattle industry.

HISTORY OF SAND HILLS CATTLE RANCHING

The Texas Cattle Industry

Despite the fact that small herds of cattle were ranged on the road ranches of the Platte Valley before the Civil War, the large scale post-war range cattle industry had its origins in the state of Texas, (Olson, pp.185). This fact was brought forth in Edward Everett Dale’s comments in The Range Cattle
Industry (Univ. of Oklahoma Press, 1930):

Any history of the cattle industry in the west must begin with Texas since that state was the original home of ranching on a large scale in the United States, and from its vast herds were drawn most of the cattle for the first stocking of the central and northern plains (Univ. of Oklahoma Press, 1930).

Unfortunately, while it was not difficult to raise cattle in Texas, it was difficult in finding markets of a local proximity in which to sell the Texas raised longhorns. Prior to the Civil War, this problem was solved by driving the cattle great distances to the southeastern ports of New Orleans and Galveston or the northern ports of Chicago and Cincinnati. However, the advent of the Civil War during the early 1860's disrupted the cattle industry by cutting off the established markets of the 1840's and 1850's, (Olson, pp.185). With these markets no longer available, the population of cattle in the state of Texas swelled and included not only those stock in managed herds but also large numbers of wild cattle as well. In the years immediately following the conclusion of the Civil War (1865-70), the Texas cattle industry resumed the tradition of the long cattle drive. This time however, the destination of the drives was generally not the previously-mentioned port cities to the southeast and north but rather to the shipping points along the westward moving rails of the Kansas Pacific and Union Pacific railroads (Olson, p.186). This change in destinations resulted in the development of ranches in the northern plains bringing the cattle nearer the shipping and market areas.
The Development of Railroad Cattle Shipping in Nebraska

Despite the post-war advent of new shipping locations on the plains north of Texas, a relatively low percentage of the cattle were driven as far north as Nebraska (15,000 of 260,000 in 1866). Of the cattle which were driven to Nebraska, the majority were purchased by the United States government to feed the Native American Indians located on Nebraska reservations. The largest percentage of cattle driven north from Texas were destined for Abilene, Kansas to be shipped eastward on the Kansas Pacific rail lines. However, in the winter of 1869, officials from the Union Pacific Railroad began to interest themselves in the prospect of shipping cattle from points along their rail lines in Nebraska (Olson, p.186). By the summer of 1870 the Union Pacific had finalized its plans and had joined in competition with the Kansas Pacific Railroad in the cattle shipping industry. The early shipping points of the Union Pacific in Nebraska were Schuyler (1870), Kearney (1871-73), and finally Ogallala (1873-c.1885). While the existence of Schuyler and Kearney as cattle shipping points was short-lived, Ogallala became a primary shipping point and was subsequently labeled the "Cowboy Capital" of Nebraska. In 1875, over 60,000 Texas cattle were driven into Ogallala and from 1876 to the middle 1880's, the number of Texas cattle brought into Ogallala on an annual basis was over 100,000 (Olson, p.187).

The days of the northbound cattle drives from Texas came to an end in the middle 1880's as settlement in northwest Kansas and southwest Nebraska created competition for land and invoked herd laws to stem the flow of Texas cattle
into recently settled Nebraska lands. By this time however, the cattle industry brought up the trails from Texas had firmly established itself in the environs of western Nebraska and eastern Wyoming.

The Nebraska Range 1865-1876

The beef cattle industry originally established in Nebraska was located in four primary areas: the lower panhandle region between the North and South Platte rivers; the region between the Platte and Republican rivers; the Platte Valley adjacent to Kearney; and the valley of the South Loup River (Olson, p.188). These four regions shared several factors necessary for the establishment of cattle operations. Each of the areas were near the terminals of the Texas trails on the Union Pacific, they were protected by U.S. military forts, they had access to the local markets of the Indian reservations, and they contained
good pasture land, (Olson, pp.188). After the rangelands in these initial areas were occupied, the cattle industry spread into the settled portions of the state. As the drought and grasshopper years of the middle 1870’s forced many settlers off the land in the upper Elkhorn (Holt County) and Republican River valleys (Furnas, Red Willow, and Hitchcock counties) the cattle moved into these areas and expanded the boundaries of their industry (Olson, p.188).

However, the northern Sand Hills region of Nebraska remained extremely uninviting to the early cattlemen of Nebraska. With the exception of the cattle sent into the Sand Hills ranges from ranches on the south and east fringes of the region (John Bratt & Co. Ranch 1870-72; Keystone Cattle Co. and the B-Bar Bosler Bros. 1875; Cody-North Ranch 1877), the interior of the Sand Hills area was a source of mystery and fear to the cattlemen of Nebraska until the late 1870’s (Aeschbacher, 1946, p.209). This is attributed to both the misconception of the Sand Hills as inadequate grazing land and to the fear of the Sioux Indian nation who controlled the Sand Hills until their 1876 secession to the U.S. Government. The Sioux nation claim to the Sand Hills area stemmed primarily from a treaty signed in 1868 which confirmed the Sioux claim to all land north of the North Platte River (Aeschbacher, 1946, p.205). As the whites followed the Oregon Trail and Union Pacific railroad through Nebraska, they avoided the Sand Hills territory of the Sioux nation. Consequently, there is no record of any permanent white settlement in the Sand Hills prior to 1875 (Aeschbacher, 1946, p.206). In that year, the U.S. Government paid the Sioux $25,000 for an 11,000 square mile strip of the Sand Hills which extended northward from the fork of the Platte River to within
twenty miles of the Niobrara River in what is now Cherry County. Then in 1876, after a year of conflict and bloodshed with the U.S. Government, the Sioux ceded the remainder of the territory north to the boundary of the state (Aeschbacher, 1946, p.206).

Despite the 1875-76 acquisition of the Sand Hills territory by the U.S. Government, the early cattlemen of Nebraska were still hesitant to penetrate the unknown interior of the rugged Sand Hills. This remained true until the spring of 1879 when two separate experiences forced the cattlemen to reconsider.

The first of these incidents occurred when Frank North of the Cody-North Ranch decided to take a herd of cattle straight north through the hills from the roundup on his southern range to his home range on the Dismal River. In years prior to this, North had avoided the Sand Hills by circling around the southeastern edge of the territory. In doing so, however, he had more than doubled the seventy mile direct route which he embarked upon in 1879. After going about thirty-five miles through supposedly dry country, North came upon a lake around which were approximately seven-hundred head of cattle in much better condition than those he had gathered on his roundup (Aeschbacher, 1946 p. 211). At about the same time, the Newman Brothers of the N-Bar Ranch located in the northwest fringe of the Sand Hills experienced a similar discovery. The Newmans considered the Sand Hills a graveyard for cattle and therefore established "line-rider" ranches along the edge of the hills to prevent the cattle from drifting into undesirable land. However, a blizzard in March of 1879 drove approximately six-thousand head of cattle past the line-riders
and into the hills. In April of the same year, the Newman’s decided to make an attempt to save the cattle by sending a roundup into the hills. After working five weeks, the crew brought back not six-thousand, but eight-thousand cattle that wore the Newman brand, and an additional one-thousand head of unbranded cattle that were apparently descendents of animals that had drifted into the Sand Hills in previous years, (Aeschbacher, 1946, p.211).

If these two incidents were not enough to convince the cattlemen to reconsider, the winter of 1880-81 provided the final incentive. During the severe blizzards of that season, thousands of cattle ranged on the Platte Valley perished in the storms. Meanwhile, the Cody-North Ranch isolated in the southern Sand Hills lost relatively few cattle (Aeschbacher, 1946, p. 212). After this, the ranchers realized the Sand Hills region was not only suitable as cattle country, but in many respects was superior to the previously utilized rangelands.

Consequently, by the middle 1880's, the Sand Hills had developed into an important region for the beef cattle industry. This development was forged however, not by the hands of the big cattle companies previously mentioned, but by the recent influx of small scale operations. The big ranch companies never seriously invaded the area, and none actually moved their headquarters into the prosperous hills. Instead, they remained near the shipping points of the Union Pacific railroad and only ventured into the Sand Hills during their semi-annual roundups (Aeschbacher, 1946, p. 213). Because these large companies did not pursue the establishment of their headquarters within the Sand Hills, the opportunity for the small operators to move in and establish their own
ranches was presented. Initially these new ranches consisted of modest land holdings and small cattle herds. By the year 1900, however, these small single-family ranches had grown into large organizations which controlled thousands of acres of Sand Hill grazing land (Olson, p. 191). This era of growth was aided by the extension of the Burlington Railroad through the interior of the Sand Hills in the years 1887-88 (Olson, p. 190).

The availability of rail shipping provided the "new" ranchers with access to markets in the east and enabled their once small holdings to expand rapidly. Some of the first permanent ranchers in the Sand Hills to build their small-scale holdings into large operations include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Lynch</td>
<td>1884</td>
<td>Hyannis Vicinity, Grant County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jay Taylor</td>
<td>1888</td>
<td>Whitman Vicinity, Grant County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbott Ranch</td>
<td>1886</td>
<td>Hyannis Vicinity, Cherry County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haney Brothers</td>
<td>1886</td>
<td>Grant County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.M. Gentry</td>
<td>1887</td>
<td>Whitman Vicinity, Cherry County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.H. Minor</td>
<td>1888</td>
<td>Hyannis Vicinity, Grant County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Forbes</td>
<td>1888</td>
<td>Hyannis Vicinity, Grant County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.M. &quot;Bud&quot; Moran</td>
<td>1887</td>
<td>Hyannis Vicinity, Grant County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U Cross Ranch</td>
<td>c.1888</td>
<td>Cherry County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.L. Perrett</td>
<td>1890</td>
<td>Hyannis Vicinity, Cherry County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.T. Davis Ranch</td>
<td>1888</td>
<td>Ashby Vicinity, Cherry County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plummer Dumbbell Ranch</td>
<td>c.1888</td>
<td>Cherry County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodruff Ranch</td>
<td>c.1888</td>
<td>Cherry County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason Ranch</td>
<td>c.1888</td>
<td>Cherry County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stansbie Ranch</td>
<td>c.1888</td>
<td>Cherry County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gage Ranch</td>
<td>c.1888</td>
<td>Cherry County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawson Ranch</td>
<td>c.1892</td>
<td>Cherry County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Becker Ranch</td>
<td>c.1892</td>
<td>Cherry County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In addition to these settlers, some of the large companies of the southern plains states such as the Spade and 101 Ranches as well as the British-owned UBI ranch had established holdings in the Sand Hills.

Initially, the ranchers of the early 1880's simply ran their cattle on public domain. They selected the best piece of land they could find and simply located as squatters without paying either taxes or rent (Aeschbacher, 1946, p. 219). The range was considered "open" only in theory. The cattlemen, through mutual agreements and friendly local governments, were able to control the influx of homestead settlers through friendly persuasion and strong-armed harassment. Then in 1885, the cause of the homesteaders was strengthened by federal legislation which prohibited any enclosure of the public domain (Olson, p. 192). This of course, was worrisome news for the ranchers of the Sand Hills who had fenced large tracts of public land in an effort to deter the incoming homesteaders. Coincident to this legislation was the growing sentiment in the eastern states that the "open" lands should not be an administrative expense to the government but rather privately owned land subject to taxation (Aeschbacher, 1946, p. 219). In order to respond to this sentiment, the ranchers of the Sand Hills proposed plans whereby the present user of the property would have the first opportunity to buy or lease the land they were currently using for range and thereby protect the large investments made in fencing the public land (Aeschbacher, p. 219). However, two serious blows were dealt to the ranchers in the early twentieth-century which affected the cattle industry until the Depression years of the 1920's.
The first of these setbacks came with the administration of President Theodore Roosevelt in 1901. Under the direction of Roosevelt, the U.S. Government embarked on an effort to enforce the heretofore ignored illegal fence law of 1885. For example, in one case, Roosevelt ordered actions against two of the ruling officers of the Nebraska Land and Feeding Company: Bartlett Richards and William Comstock. The Nebraska Land and Feeding Company operated the Spade, Bar C, and Overton Ranches which comprised over 212,000 acres of illegally fenced government land (Olson, p. 193). The men were eventually convicted and incarcerated in the Adams County Jail of Hastings, Nebraska.

The second setback suffered by the ranchers in their effort to sustain their illegally fenced lands occurred in 1904 and proved to be a substantially more powerful blow. Their hopes for a purchase or lease law were permanently shattered by the 1904 passage of the Kinkaid Act. This act increased the size of the homestead in the Sand Hills from the previously inadequate one-quarter section (160 acres) to an entire 640-acre section. The settlers, known as "Kinkaiders" could receive patents for their lands after residence of five years and proof that they had placed improvements of $1.25 per acre upon the land claimed. When the Sand Hills were opened to the Kinkaiders, the population of the region boomed. The eastern portion of the Sand Hills were the first area to witness the influx of Kinkaiders (Aeschbacher, 1946, p. 220). While the majority of the claims in the eastern area of the Sand Hills occurred in the years immediately following 1904, the western portion of the Sand Hills was not settled by Kinkaiders for another ten years (Aeschbacher, 1946,
The greater portion of this western area consisted of a tract of the North Platte Forest Reserve which was not available for claiming until 1913. Following the passage of the Kinkaid Act in 1904, the Sand Hills went through a 10 to 20 year period of ill-fated farming efforts. The sandy soils of the region were not suitable for cultivation and any attempts to sustain annual crops resulted in rapid erosion and eventual "blow-outs" of proposed farmland. The Depression of the 1920's forced many of those who had taken Homestead claims under the Kinkaid Act to sell their land to the cattlemen. For those who did hold on, the combined drought and depression of the 1930's signalled an end to their dreams as well. By this time, the pattern of the present-day cattle ranching industry was fairly well established. Any lingering misconceptions that farming would displace cattle-raising in the Sand Hills region had been firmly dismissed. The vast expanses of the Sand Hills had, in an indirect fashion, returned into the hands of the cattle-raising industry and the balance of the fragile grass covered dunes was once again restored.
THE IMPACT OF THE KINKAID AND HOMESTEAD ACTS IN THE NORTHERN NEBRASKA SAND HILLS

The Homestead Act, and more importantly the Kinkaid Act, had a significant impact on the settlement of the Sand Hills region. Under the patronage of these acts, the population of the Sand Hills region increased tremendously. The influx of settlers spawned an era of economic prosperity which witnessed the construction of a significant number of rural buildings. In order to satisfy the requirements of their claim, the homesteaders and more significantly the Kinkaiders, were required to reside on their claims therefore necessitating the construction of buildings for human and animal occupancy. It is these buildings which are of particular interest to the survey results based on their relation to this important period of settlement. Based on the contributions these acts made to the historic built environment of the northern Sand Hills region it was considered necessary to discuss their provisions and subsequent impact.

During the first two decades of the settlement of Nebraska (1855-1875), the Sand Hills region was left largely unsettled and remained part of Nebraska's unorganized territory. The entire region was known as Sioux country and official business was conducted through Cheyenne County. By the late 1870's and early 1880's, the Sand Hills area was beginning to experience its first influx of settlers. The period of initial settlement that followed (1880 to 1890), was one of generally good conditions and settlement increased at a steady rate. It was during this period that the first impact of the Homestead Act of 1862 was felt in the northern Nebraska Sand Hills region. While the eastern counties of the northern Sand Hills study area (Keya Paha, Brown, Rock,
Blaine, Loup) had experienced homestead claims in the 1870's, the first claims filed in the western counties (Cherry, Grant, Hooker, Thomas) occurred between the years of 1880 and 1886.

The Homestead Act of 1862 provided for up to one-quarter section of "free" land (160 acres) to heads of families who had paid the $10.00 filing fee and resided or cultivated the land for five consecutive years. Supplemental to the Homestead Act was the Timber Culture Act approved by Congress in 1873. It provided that a homesteader could acquire any additional one-quarter section by planting 40 acres with trees and caring for them for ten years.

Prior to the influx of homesteaders into the Sand Hills, a portion of the region was occupied by large cattle companies using the public domain of the open range for the grazing of cattle. When the homesteaders began to stake their claims, the open range land used by the cattle companies was subdivided into smaller properties of 160 to 320 acre holdings (Olson, p. 192). The majority of homesteaders, in compliance of the Act, began to cultivate the land of the northern Sand Hills. However, this proved to be an ill-fated decision due to the high susceptibility of the sand-based soil to erosion. Once the grass covered mantle was tilled under for cultivation large "blow-outs" resulted and the land which had been cultivated was rendered useless. By the end of the 1880's, the perception of the Sand Hills as an inadequate region for cultivation had been realized (Tubbs, p. 117).

In the 1890's, faced with drouth, grasshopper plagues and economic depression, many settlers returned east or moved further west and the area experienced its first loss in population. Because of the events this decade, the ultimate impact of the Homestead Act in the northern Sand Hills was
relatively short-lived and uneventful. This however, is in marked contrast to the impact of the Act in Nebraska as a whole.

Under the impetus of the Homestead Act and other land promotions, settlers poured into the state literally by the thousands. The population of Nebraska increased from 452,402 in 1880 to 1,058,910 in 1890, a total increase of 134% (Olson, p. 195). Also during the decade of the prosperous 1880's, twenty-six counties were organized throughout the state leaving only four counties as yet unorganized. While a great deal of credit must be given to settlement that resulted from the arrival of the railroads, it was through the Homestead Act and related federal legislation that much of Nebraska's rural lands were settled (Olson, p. 157).

Despite the statewide success of the Homestead Act, the only successful use made of the law in the Sand Hills was by cattlemen who used it to secure stream fronts and water holes. In fact, a great deal of the Sand Hills area had never been homesteaded and was used only for open grazing (Tubbs, p. 118). The condition of the homesteaders in the Sand Hills region during the 1870's convinced much of the population that the settlement of the area under the existing Homestead or Timber Claim Acts was not satisfactory. The land which the settlers could obtain was not sufficient for sustaining a livelihood in the Sand Hills region. Even in the areas of the Sand Hills where cultivation of crops was possible, 160 to 320 acres was simply not an adequate amount for a single-family property. The original intent of the Homestead Act was to distribute the land in humid areas east of the 100th meridian but it made no provisions for increasing the size of the claim for those areas with insufficient rainfall of inadequate soils (Reynolds, p. 20). The desperate
plight of the homesteader in the Sand Hills region gradually gained the support of regional and national politicians. In fact, prior to the drouth-stricken 1890's, the Public Lands Commission of 1879 recommended a homestead on grazing lands of four square miles. Cattlemen, however, were naturally satisfied with existing conditions and the four section recommendation was never accepted.

However, the idea of an enlarged homestead as a means of settling the Sand Hills was revived by T.A. Fort of North Platte following the drouth of 1890 (Tubbs, p. 118). Fort proposed a homestead of two square miles (2,560 acres) with a requirement of five years residence and annual improvements on the land (Tubbs, p. 118). By 1900, Fort had interested the recently elected Congressman William Neville in his new proposal. In 1901, Neville introduced a bill to provide a two-square mile homestead but the proposal never advanced pass the committee stage (Tubbs, p. 118).

One year later, in 1902, President Theodore Roosevelt called attention to the inadequacy of the quarter-section homestead in the arid western lands. Although Roosevelt made no specific proposals, the road was paved for congressional action. The leadership for this action was assumed by Moses P. Kinkaid of O'Neill, Nebraska who had defeated Neville in the 1902 race for the sixth district congressional seat. In April 1904, Kinkaid introduced a bill to the House of Representatives which would eventually change the settlement history of much of Western Nebraska. The intent of the bill was to "amend the homestead laws as to certain unappropriated and unreserved lands in Nebraska," (Reynold, p. 21).

The bill, as presented to the committee on Public Lands, requested a homestead of 1,280 acres in thirty-seven Nebraska counties to be acquired by a
residence of five years and improvements of $1.25 per acre for each acre claimed. The committee amended the bill to 640 acres and recommended it to the house on April 13, 1904, stating that the increased size of the homestead would compensate the homesteader on quantity of land for what it lacked in quality and productiveness (Reynolds p. 22). The report by the committee emphasized that, from 1875 to 1904, the land to which the bill applied had been rejected by homesteaders who had settled only on the quarter sections of land good enough to support cultivation. This pattern had left open for settlement the semi-arid grazing lands incapable of supporting a profitable farm on only 160 acre tracts of land (Reynolds page 22). The report also stated that, in numerous cases, the homesteaders who did file claims on the unsuitable areas had only done so with the intent of selling the land to the cattlemen once their claim was fulfilled. A committee was appointed to work out the differences between the two bills and, after approval of both houses, the Kinkaid Act was signed by President Roosevelt on April 28, 1904.

The final form of the bill provided that the homestead unit should not exceed 640 acres and that any lands which were irrigable should not be open to entry (Reynolds p. 23). Homesteaders in the territory who had occupied lands already under entry were allowed to claim adjoining lands up to the 640 acre total and have their existing house serve as the residence for the additional land (Reynolds p. 23). The additional land was then given final proof five years after the filing date.
Evolution of the Family Ranch

"After the new house was built, my grandfather's house was torn down...it used to sit where that concrete shop is now," (Tim Ganser interview, November 1988). This casual description given by one of the ranchers concerning his original family homestead, exemplifies the evolution of the family ranch. From original homesteaders to present day ranchers, the family ranch has not been ignorant to change. Over the years original homesteads have either expanded as a result of prosperous growth or dwindled to the point of raw survival as a result of changing times. It is the first of these scenarios in which we wish to explore further. As acknowledged, the physical components of the family ranch which combine to comprise one unit have evolved from a series of technological advances.

Population growth to the sandhills region saw a major increase during the teens as a result of the Kinkaid Act. Under this act settlers could claim up to 640 acres of land where previously only a maximum of 160 acres was obtainable. Thousands of acres owned by the government were granted over to eager settlers. Mari Sandoz described these people in Sandhills Sundays, (1930):

"...perhaps a potential settler should realize from the start that homesteading was not for the timid, and as soon as a man could say "I'm looking for me a piece of government land-" he was among friends. He and any family he had were welcome to eat at our table and sleep in our beds even if we children were moved to the floor. This was naturally all agreed beyond the twenty-five dollar locating and surveying fee Old Jules charged whenever the settler managed to get the money. Often the family stayed with us until their house was up."

After the acreage was located and property markers were established, the
homesteader began the task of breaking the virgin ground. Farming was the original intention but the sandy composition of the land would soon prove otherwise. Simultaneous to the turning of the land came the construction of a dwelling. Making do with material at hand, many of the early shelters were built out of sod or in the earth itself. Lumber was also available via the railroad. Other buildings essential to the ranch practice such as an outhouse, barn, and other outbuildings, soon followed. These structures were most always constructed of lumber.

As the family ranch continued operation, there began a transfer of responsibility from one generation to the next. Children became pseudo-apprentices to the practices of ranch operation for in time, they would assume responsibility. This transfer of control creates the second generation family ranch.

The Kinkaid Act becomes a historic document representing a stage in early settlement. By this time, methods for ranching have been established. There also exists a house, and the other necessary outbuildings. Changes made to the ranch will build upon what already exists. Additions to the house take place as the family continues to increase. Technological advances in farm machinery require buildings to house them. It is in this period that we see the physical fabric of the ranch expand with refinement in experimental operation.

As the third generation assumes responsibility for the family ranch, changes in building functions begin to shift. Because of modern conveniences such as indoor plumbing and electricity, the original house has become unfavorable as the main dwelling. A new main house is built with the former
house left for the grandparents to live. Eventually, the original house either falls in disrepair, is used for scrap materials, or becomes an outbuilding to house livestock or grain. Sheathing material such as stucco and tin also find practical use in the protection of deteriorated outbuildings in need of repair. One by one the original frame homestead buildings begin to fall. The once important large gambrel roofed barn finds minor use as a part-time garage. The two tall silos flanking it threaten to collapse. But behind the barn is a complex of new pre-fabricated with gleaming metal roofs (J.B. Jackson, 1984).

Prefabricated buildings designed and manufactured by large companies from far away places find their way into the ranch landscape. The symbiotic relationship once held by the buildings and the settlers who created them becomes blurred. Even the family house each one unique to its environment slowly disappears in favor of the more economical, more temporary, more impersonal trailer house.

In the course of roughly 100 years, the evolution of the family ranch in Nebraska's sandhills region has experienced major change. Today's vision of the ranch landscape is expressed in pictures of large frame houses and gambrel roofed barns. Will tomorrow's ranch landscape consist of trailer homes and Behlen buildings?
Catalogue Houses

The homesteader got most of his items through mail order catalogues, including, sometimes, his wife, if one could call the matrimonial papers, the heart-and-hand publications, catalogues. They did describe the offerings rather fully but with, perhaps, a little less honesty than Montgomery Ward or Sears Roebuck.

_Sandhill Sundays_ (1930)
by Mari Sandoz

Before the days of modern transportation, the physical landscape of many regions influenced and dictated the type of architecture executed. The Sand Hills region of Nebraska offered very little in terms of native building materials for homesteaders. They made do with materials at hand which consisted of a few trees for lumber and plenty of sod for "bricks". The sod house came to represent a common house type known to the plains. Landscape historian J.B. Jackson, described the limitations of materials in the built environment in his book _Discovering The Vernacular Landscape_, "...In the West... new houses are either of the prefabricated, mail-order variety or made of concrete block for lack of inexpensive lumber," (1984).

Soon after early settlement, the newly established railway system began shipping merchandise to once isolated places. The availability of lumber increased and it soon became the dominant building material. Construction on the plains was at its peak.

The late 19th and early 20th centuries were ripe for entrepreneurs who sold architectural plans as well as the houses themselves. The Hodgeson Company, Alladin Homes, Montgomery Ward, and Sears and Roebuck all had their start in the prefabricated housing business between 1895 and 1910. Sears however, was the largest: its sales reached 30,000 houses by 1925 and nearly
50,000 by 1930, more than any other mail order Company (Stevenson and Jandl 1986).

Although catalogue houses were available anywhere the railroad went, popularity of these houses was concentrated in the midwest. Nebraska, and more specifically the sand hills region were not excluded from this 20th century phenomena. Stevenson and Jandl (1986) have identified 20 Sears mail-order houses constructed in Nebraska according to the records from the Sears archives. Documentation of houses includes style, location, and date of construction. Unfortunately, the Sears Company did not keep extensive records on every house sold. Further, numerous house plans were sold without the pre-cut lumber package. In these instances, it is even harder to estimate how many of these houses were actually built and even more difficult to locate. To further complicate matters, it is very difficult to identify a catalogue house just by viewing the exterior. Sears offered a wide selection of the most
sought-after styles. They also welcomed alterations to existing plans as well as custom designed houses.

Save America's Heritage recognizes the importance of catalogue houses to the history of Nebraska. Through the thirty years of catalogue house production, catalogue house companies, especially Sears, has set impressive records of houses sold. Unpretentiously, these houses have been integrated into the architectural landscape and are symbols of innovation in the modern housing industry. The sheer number which were estimated to have been built testifies to the popularity of catalogue homes and reflects twentieth-century attitudes.
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK

Throughout the duration of the Hooker County survey, random observations were recorded in an effort to later construct recommendations for future work. The general impressions recorded by the survey team were then combined with a post-survey analysis of documented historic properties. The resulting recommendations were conceptualized by Save America’s Heritage to fit the "tools" of preservation and documentation available to the Nebraska State Historic Preservation Office. These tools consist of Multiple Property, Historic District, and individual nominations to the National Register of Historic Places as well as intensive survey relating to Historic Context Reports.

The following suggestions are separated into two categories and are presented as follows: 1) NeSHPO National Register Follow-Up, and 2) Potential Thematic Studies.

National Register Recommendations

The first of the two categories, the NeSHPO National Register Follow-Up, is a basic summary of the potential National Register of Historic Places listing activities associated with the Hooker County survey.

Save America's Heritage strongly suggests the drafting of nominations for all properties judged by NeSHPO staff as potentially eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. The drafting of these nominations may occur in two basic forms. First, as Multiple Property nominations for all properties which relate to Historic Contexts developed by the NeSHPO, or as individual
nominations of the 12 properties listed in the Preliminary Inventory of Hooker County historic properties. The properties which appear in the Preliminary Inventory are those which appear potentially eligible for the National Register and should be acted upon immediately following the submittal of this report.

**Potential Thematic Studies/Multiple Property Nominations**

The following priority for future work recommended by Save America's Heritage is presented at this point as the topic which appears to have the greatest potential for development into a Historic Context Report. The basis for these suggestions were derived from pre-survey research, agricultural analyses, and reconnaissance survey observations.

It is Save America's Heritage recommendation that the Sand Hills Range Livestock Production (08.08) Historic Context Report be developed by the NeSHPO and followed by an investigative study of historic properties within Hooker County which relate to this context. For a discussion of this topic, please refer to the article on Sand Hills Range Livestock Production found on page 46. In addition, a Preliminary Inventory of those properties potentially significant for their association with Sand Hills Range Livestock Production is found on page 31.
APPENDIX 1:
RECONNAISSANCE RESEARCH DESIGN

1. Introduction

It is the intention of this paper to contribute two important functions towards the execution of the Reconnaissance Survey of Hooker County. First, it will provide Save America's Heritage (SAVE) survey team with the guidelines by which the survey will be performed and secondly, it establishes a means of communicating these guidelines to the NeHBS Survey Coordinator for critique and refinement.

The format of this Research Design will be to discuss first the "non-mechanical" aspects of the survey, followed by a discussion of the tasks considered more "mechanical" in nature. The primary purpose of the "mechanical" discussion is to define the documentation process used in the recording of historic properties while the "non-mechanical" discussion will consist of the survey objectives and limitations.

2. Objectives Of Reconnaissance Survey

After completing a preliminary outline of the objectives associated with a reconnaissance survey, it became apparent that there was an obvious division between those objectives which were qualitative in nature and those that were quantitative. This division has organized the reconnaissance objectives into the two listings that follow.

Qualitative Objectives:

The most obvious objective in a reconnaissance survey is the concept of providing a preliminary characterization of the historic resources extant in a particular geographic area. Beyond this are several other objectives which enhance both the data collected by the survey and the need for the survey
itself. First among these additional objectives is the concept of establishing the setting of Nebraska's historic architecture. Each historic building survey performed will generate information which contributes to a statewide knowledge and builds a background with which future survey information can be evaluated.

Secondly, it is the objective of the historic building survey to identify specific properties or geographic areas which, in the event of an intensive survey, would contribute useful information to the above mentioned setting. Further qualitative objectives include: the possible identification of specific building types, the identification of construction methods which may relate to or are unique to the context of Nebraska’s historic architecture, the identification of sites worthy of National Register listing, and the expansion of knowledge relative to a specific geographic area within the state context such as ethnic settlement, building technologies and architectural image.

Outline of Qualitative Objectives:
1. To create a community awareness and interest in Historic Preservation and the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP).

2. The documentation of several significant properties potentially eligible for the National Register of Historic Places as individual, multiple property, or historic district nominations.

3. To document information pertinent to the NeSHPO Topical Listing of Historic Contexts and associated property types.

4. To complete a comprehensive, conscientious survey which will generate information useful to the planning process and future surveys.

5. The collation of survey data for planning intensive survey work.

6. To record information useful to the local planning decisions of the county when assessing projects affecting historic properties. (Section 106) etc.
7. To record any potential links between a particular ethnic settlement and its associated property types within the survey area.

8. To promote historic preservation through the identification and publication of the historic properties located within the county.

9. To identify properties whose owners may be eligible for various kinds of federal, state, and local assistance in the event the owner pursues the preservation, restoration, or rehabilitation of their historic building(s).

Quantitative Objectives:

A. The recording of an estimated 100 properties in Hooker County at the completion of the survey.

B. The covering of approximately 80,000 acres (125 square miles) in Hooker County. In addition, each street of Mullen will be surveyed using reconnaissance survey methods.

C. Identification of at least 15 properties worthy of nomination to the National Register of Historic Places.

D. Identification of at least one possible Historic District or Multiple Property nomination worthy of National Register pursuit.

E. Evaluating by the following hierarchy those sites for: a) high potential for significance, b)suspicious buildings—those buildings that may be of significance, c) no potential in comparison to others, d) those sites not likely to yield any information.


The "mechanical" aspect of reconnaissance historic building surveys will focus primarily upon the documentation process and corresponding methods used in the recording of historic resources. The recording technique is considered of prime importance and it is the attitude of Save America's Heritage to strive for a conscientious effort and accurate method while recording historic resources. To best communicate our intentions, the following discussion on
survey methods has been organized into three groups. These are: 1) pre-field research, 2) pre-field activities, and 3) field activities.

Pre-Field Research

Following the selection of the survey geographic boundaries by the NeSHPO, the pre-field research is begun and focuses primarily on the performance of archival research. The main purpose of archival research is to identify the nature of the survey area settlement by culture, geographic location, and time frame. In addition, the archival research should attempt to identify potential themes of architectural, cultural, and historical significance within the survey area, should they exist. While it is acknowledged that the extent and availability of research information varies according to the events and background of the area, the following references will be investigated prior to the reconnaissance survey: locally written county histories, county histories written within a statewide history, existing survey data in the NeSHPO site files including survey forms, the files of the NSHS photographic collections, centennial publications on community and church histories, archival maps and atlases, newspaper articles concerning a community's built environment, and literature published by local or county historical groups. The majority of these types of publications can be found in the libraries of state and county historical societies. A bibliography of all sources referenced should be maintained and, along with photocopied information, added to the site files. These general data files are organized according to specific counties, local communities, and individual sites. The files are used prior to reconnaissance survey to familiarize the surveyors with the survey area and are consulted
again in the field during the survey. Added to the general files are all forms of public correspondence received up to the point the survey is begun.

Due to the absence of an existing Historic Overview report, extensive preparation becomes necessary to satisfactorily develop the concepts of the report. The content of the Historic Overview is considered a prime source of pre-survey information. Therefore, the following is an outline of the methodology to be employed by SAVE's personnel during the composition of the county Historic Overview.

Each Historic Overview report will identify important patterns, events, persons, or cultural values pertaining to the county. It is anticipated that the information within the Historic Overview will aid in the identification of property types associated with each individual theme. In the preparation of the Historic Overview, the following will be considered:

A. Trends in area settlement and development.

B. Aesthetic and artistic values embodied in architecture, construction technology, or craftsmanship.

C. Research values or problems relevant to the county, social and physical sciences and humanities, and cultural interests of local communities.

D. Intangible cultural values of ethnic groups and native American people.

Pre-Field Activities:

The topic of pre-field activities are considered separate from pre-field research on the basis of their more publicly extroverted nature. Save America's Heritage will begin the pre-field activities with the distribution of notices announcing the survey and its intentions to all the general public. This will be done by placing general notices in established commercial and non-commercial facilities of the communities, such as the United States Post Office, grocery stores, coffee shops, etc. Reinforcing this is the dispersal of
press releases to all active newspapers existing in the county. The intent of the release is to inform the public of the survey programs and to solicit their input in the identification of historic resources. In addition to this, communication will be established with the local historians and historical societies detaining our intent and welcoming their possible input. Included in this communication will be information concerning pertinent Historic Contexts and the time frame of the survey. The final task of pre-field activity will be the precautionary attempts to eliminate public suspicion. The justifiable suspicion aroused by survey activities will potentially be eliminated through the listing of survey vehicles and personnel with local police departments and county sheriff patrols.

Field Activities—General:

The first step prior to embarking on the survey would be the assembling of the necessary documents used during the recording of historic properties. This includes town plat maps, USGS 7 1/2 minute topographical maps, county road maps, site files and the preparation of the Historic Overview. The recording of the historic properties will be conducted during the reconnaissance survey and will consist of a four step process: 1) identifying structures, 2) mapping locations, 3) recording of S QLE information and 4) photographic documentation. Any supplemental field notes derived from observations or public communications will also be added.

The reconnaissance photography would consist of two photographs per site from opposite 45 degree angles using a wide angle perspective correcting lens. In certain cases, additional photographs of the more significant buildings will be recorded showing environmental setting, architectural details, or
construction methods. Descriptions of each site will be recorded as required by the SQLE data entry established by the NeSHPO. For domestic buildings, the supratypological analysis developed by The Midwest Vernacular Architecture Committee will be used in the description process. Photographic field notes will also be kept concerning the aspect of the image, exposure number, and corresponding roll number. In addition to the recording of the information listed above, further research will be conducted on those sites which are considered to have greater significance.

A primary concept in the documentation of historic buildings is the recognition that different building types may require different recording techniques. Therefore, it is necessary for the surveyor to define the specific types of information most relevant to the property type being recorded.

4. Reconnaissance Survey Biases

Integrity:

To be listed on the National Register of Historic Places, a property must possess integrity. Integrity is the authenticity of a property's historic identity, evidenced by the survival of physical characteristics that existed during the property's historic period. If a property retains the physical characteristics it possessed in the past then it has the capacity to convey association with historical patterns or persons, architectural design, or information about a culture or people. Consequently, the determination of integrity is considered a most important field activity.

For reconnaissance-level documentation, two very basic questions must first be asked. These are:

1. Is the building at least 50 years old?
2. Does it retain its original integrity?

The answer to question number one is usually quite objective, however, the determination of integrity requires some discussion.

It must first be recognized that the degree of integrity exhibited by historic buildings can vary greatly. The principal investigator must first ask, "Does this property reflect its historic character or has it been altered by the application of contemporary building materials and technologies?" In most instances, the house is the first building scrutinized, especially in the case of town surveys where they represent the majority of extant buildings. However, the importance of "house integrity" is diminished when dealing with buildings located in rural settings. For most cases in Nebraska, this means a farmstead. With the added significance of agricultural-related buildings such as hay, horse and livestock barns, granaries, corn cribs, and elevators, a limited amount of alteration to the house should not prevent the site from being documented. In the case where a farmstead contains a large collection of historic farm buildings but a severely altered house, the site will be documented as a farmstead with a noncontributing house. A final case may exist where a single, highly significant, farm-related building is located within an otherwise altered farmstead. In this event, Save America's Heritage will document the individual building designating a site number solely to the specific building, structure, or object.

Integrity also appears to play an important role in the field documentation of commercial buildings. Traditionally, buildings used for commerce have been adaptively reused by subsequent generations. These buildings are positioned along a primary local thoroughfare or even a regionally important highway, thus
lending appeal to present-day retailers seeking new locations. Often the buildings are physically altered to accommodate new functions and therefore suffer a loss of integrity. With this in mind, only those buildings exhibiting the visual characteristics of their historic period will be documented.

In summary, the determination of integrity will be based upon the historic retention of the following physical characteristics.

**Materials:** Does the building retain the original materials from its period of historic importance?

**Location:** Is the building placed in its original location or has it been moved?

**Design:** Does the building reflect the design aesthetics of its historic period?

**Setting:** Does the building reflect a historic sense of place? Does the historic image and feel still exist?

**Function:** Does the building represent its historic use?

**Characteristics of Rural Integrity:**

With the concept of Rural Historic Districts added to the National Register process, the principal investigator is forced to develop new visual sensitivities which are sympathetic to the qualities of rural settings. New methods of survey and research must be added to our understanding of both the built and natural environment and the historic relationship between them.

With this in mind, Save America's Heritage will attempt, without contractual obligation, to observe the following characteristics of potential significance to rural historic enclaves:

* The condition and presence of features, natural and built, which relate to a historic period of importance.
* The ability of a rural environment to reflect a sense of a past time or place.
* Potential unifying factors which may link rural properties together.
* The overall patterns of landscape spatial organization (land forms, natural features, material components).
* Land-use categories and activities (farming, ranching, recreation).
* Response to natural features (landform affect on material components).
* Boundaries (cultural, political, or natural).
* Cluster arrangements (position of material elements within landscape...
setting).
* Ecological context.
* Integrity: Loss of natural features that were historically integral to the rural setting and intrusion of non-contributing features.

5. Anticipated Property Types.

Save America's Heritage anticipates the identification of historic properties in each of the following thirteen categories.

Religion: Churches, church schools, parsonages, and convents
Aesthetic Systems: decorative Arts, sculpture, paintings.
Government: Courthouses, Post Offices, Town Halls.
Association: Fraternal, service and social organizations.
Education: Schools, libraries, museums.
Diversion: Park grounds, theaters, recreational facilities.
Agriculture: hay/horse barns, cattle barns, hog barns, cattle fences, cellars, cob houses, orchards, windmills, windbreaks, pump systems, cattle loafing sheds, hog loafing sheds, farrowing houses, corn cribs, wash houses, summer kitchen, chicken houses, brooder houses, machine shops, implement sheds, granaries, silos, elevators, and stock tank systems.
Processing Industries: Meat packing, dairy, poultry.
Commerce: stores, hotels, elevators.
Transportation: Gas stations, rail depots, motels, auto showrooms.
Communications: Telephone, newspaper, and publishing houses.
Services: Professional, financial and health buildings.
Settlement Systems: Houses, apartments and boarding houses.

6. Evaluation Process and Criteria

Process of Evaluation:

Two primary reasons exist for the evaluation of the resources documented by the Historic Building Survey. The first is the identification of properties worthy of nomination to the National Register of Historic Places, and the second is the designation of those properties to be preserved by local planning processes. The National Register criterion A, B, C, and D as translated by the Historic Context Reports shall be the basis for evaluation.

The Preliminary Inventory is the primary reference list of all properties within Hooker County that are potentially eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). Therefore, its primary purpose is to define
the entire "pool" of historic resources which appear potentially eligible for listing.

The Preliminary inventory also fulfills additional roles which include its use as a guide for suggesting future work in the study area and the identification of building types which are no longer extant or never existed within the study area. The analysis of the inventoried data may also provide the NeSHPO with answers to the following questions:

1. What percentage of the total number of properties surveyed were worthy of intensive survey on the basis of their association to an identified historic theme or to a preliminarily identified Historic Context?

2. What percentage of the total number of properties surveyed were worthy of intensive survey as non-historic context sites?

3. What percentage of those properties noted during the field survey as potentially significant were actually found to be significant?

Save America's Heritage originally viewed the assembling of the Preliminary Inventory as a two-step process consisting of survey and review. However, as outlined below, a refined methodology has evolved from previous survey experience which now involves several levels of evaluation. What has emerged is a more in-depth compilation of potential NRHP sites using a variety of historic and contemporary resources.

1. Initial base list of potentially eligible properties derived from review of reconnaissance survey documentation.

2. Review of contact sheets and property descriptions performed to add or delete base-list properties.

3. Review all published county, church, and centennial histories, with particular emphasis on historic building citations concerning the base-list properties.

4. Contact local historical societies for input on histories of base-list properties.

5. Second base-list review with application of criterion to derive final lists.
of sites which:
a) are strongly recommended for NRHP listing, and b) may not be strongly recommended for listing but contribute to the character of the historic built environment.

Criteria For Evaluation:

If the ultimate goal of the Nebraska Historic Buildings Survey is indeed the identification of properties worthy of National Register listing, then the definitions and criteria established by the NRHP become the primary concepts by which the significance of a historic property is evaluated.

The National Register defines a historic property as a district, site, building, structure, or object significant in American history, architecture, engineering, archeology, and culture. A historic context is a broad pattern of historical development in a community or its region, that may be represented by historic resources. The use of historic contexts provides a mechanism for translating the broad National Register criteria into locally meaningful terms.

For example, the National Register criteria allow any property that is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past to be regarded as eligible for listing, but it is the historic contexts of the area that define who such people were (p. 55, National Register Bulletin, No. 24, V. 5, Department of the interior). With this in mind, the National Register criteria translated into local meaning by the Historic Context Reports are as follows:

The quality of significance in American history, architecture, archeology, and culture is present in districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects that possess integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association, and:

A. that are associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history; or
B. that are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past; or

C. that embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or that represent the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; or

D. that have yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.
APPENDIX 2: Hooker County Historic Buildings Survey Inventory

HOOKER COUNTY RURAL INVENTORY:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NeHBS</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>RESOURCE/COMMON</th>
<th>HISTORIC CONTEXT</th>
<th>TOTAL CONTRIBUTING</th>
<th>PROPERTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H000-2</td>
<td>c.1905</td>
<td>ABANDONED RANCH</td>
<td>AGRICULTURE (08.08)</td>
<td>3 0 1 0 0</td>
<td>08.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-3</td>
<td>c.1905</td>
<td>RANCH</td>
<td>AGRICULTURE (08.08)</td>
<td>6 0 0 2 0</td>
<td>08.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-4</td>
<td>c.1930</td>
<td>RANCH</td>
<td>AGRICULTURE (08.08)</td>
<td>2 0 0 2 0</td>
<td>08.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-5</td>
<td>c.1929</td>
<td>RANCH</td>
<td>AGRICULTURE (08.08)</td>
<td>6 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>08.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-6</td>
<td>c.1885</td>
<td>CLAIM SHANTIE</td>
<td>SETTLEMENT SYSTEMS (16)</td>
<td>1 0 0 0 16.5</td>
<td>08.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-7</td>
<td>c.1905</td>
<td>ABANDONED RANCH</td>
<td>AGRICULTURE (08.08)</td>
<td>3 0 0 3 0</td>
<td>08.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-8</td>
<td>c.1875</td>
<td>ECLIPSE CHURCH &amp; CEMETERY</td>
<td>RELIGION (02)</td>
<td>2 1 0 2 02.4.1</td>
<td>08.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-9</td>
<td>c.1885</td>
<td>ABANDONED RANCH</td>
<td>AGRICULTURE (08.08)</td>
<td>7 0 2 1 0</td>
<td>08.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-10</td>
<td>c.1900</td>
<td>ABANDONED RANCH HOUSE</td>
<td>AGRICULTURE (08.08)</td>
<td>1 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>08.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-11</td>
<td>c.1915</td>
<td>HARDING RANCH</td>
<td>AGRICULTURE (08.08)</td>
<td>5 0 0 1 0</td>
<td>08.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-12</td>
<td>c.1900</td>
<td>ABANDONED RANCH</td>
<td>AGRICULTURE (08.08)</td>
<td>1 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>08.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-13</td>
<td>c.1915</td>
<td>ABANDONED SCHOOL</td>
<td>EDUCATION (06)</td>
<td>1 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>06.3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Creation</td>
<td>Davis</td>
<td>Poirier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-14</td>
<td>c.1915</td>
<td>ABANDONED HOUSE</td>
<td>AGRICULTURE (08.08)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-15</td>
<td>c.1885</td>
<td>HOMESTEAD</td>
<td>AGRICULTURE (08.08)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-16</td>
<td>c.1910</td>
<td>RANCH</td>
<td>AGRICULTURE (08.08)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-17</td>
<td>c.1900</td>
<td>MAIRE SCHOOL</td>
<td>EDUCATION (06)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-18</td>
<td>c.1915</td>
<td>RANCH</td>
<td>AGRICULTURE (08.08)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-19</td>
<td>c.1905</td>
<td>HOUSE</td>
<td>SETTLEMENT SYSTEMS (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-20</td>
<td>c.1908</td>
<td>SOD HOUSE</td>
<td>AGRICULTURE (08.08)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-21</td>
<td>c.1915</td>
<td>RANCH</td>
<td>AGRICULTURE (08.08)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H000-22</td>
<td>1877</td>
<td>CODY-NORTH RANCH</td>
<td>AGRICULTURE (08.08)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NeHBS</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>RESOURCE/COMMON</td>
<td>HISTORIC CONTEXT</td>
<td>TOTAL CONTRIBUTING</td>
<td>PROPERTY TYPE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-1</td>
<td>c.1937</td>
<td>JEWEL DINER</td>
<td>SERVICES (15)</td>
<td>1 0 0 0</td>
<td>15.2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-3</td>
<td>c.1910</td>
<td>HOUSE</td>
<td>SETTLEMENT SYSTEMS (16)</td>
<td>2 0 0 0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-4</td>
<td>c.1915</td>
<td>HOUSE</td>
<td>SETTLEMENT SYSTEMS (16)</td>
<td>1 0 0 0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-5</td>
<td>c.1910</td>
<td>HOUSE</td>
<td>SETTLEMENT SYSTEMS (16)</td>
<td>1 0 0 0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-6</td>
<td>c.1935</td>
<td>HOUSE</td>
<td>SETTLEMENT SYSTEMS (16)</td>
<td>2 0 0 0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-7</td>
<td>c.1908</td>
<td>HOUSE</td>
<td>SETTLEMENT SYSTEMS (16)</td>
<td>1 0 0 0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House Number</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Settlement Systems</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-8</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-9</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-10</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>Hooker County Courthouse</td>
<td>Government (04)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-11</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-12</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-13</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-14</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-15</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-16</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>Carriage Barn</td>
<td>Transportation (13)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-17</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-18</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-19</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-20</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-21</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>Service Garage</td>
<td>Transportation (13)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-22</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-23</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>Abandoned House</td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-24</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-25</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-26</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-27</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>Duplex</td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-28</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-29</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-30</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-31</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-32</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-33</td>
<td>1938</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-34</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-35</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>St. Joseph Episcopal Church</td>
<td>Religion (02)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-36</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-37</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-38</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>Vinton Feed Store</td>
<td>Commerce (12)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-39</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>Former Hotel</td>
<td>Commerce (12)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-40</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>Commercial Building</td>
<td>Commerce (12)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-41</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>Commercial Building</td>
<td>Commerce (12)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-42</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>Commercial Building</td>
<td>Commerce (12)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-43</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>Commercial Building</td>
<td>Commerce (12)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-44</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>Commercial Building</td>
<td>Commerce (12)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-45</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>Commercial Building</td>
<td>Commerce (12)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-46</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>R.R. Storage Bldg.</td>
<td>Transportation (13)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-47</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-48</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>Service Garage</td>
<td>Transportation (13)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-49</td>
<td>1929</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-50</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-51</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-52</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-53</td>
<td>1932</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>Abandoned Gas Station</td>
<td>Transportation (13)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-54</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement Systems (16)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-55</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>Sandhills Motel</td>
<td>Commerce (12)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H002-56</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>Gas Station</td>
<td>Transportation (13)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 3:

Index of Abbreviations

The following index attempts to explain the abbreviations used by the survey team while recording historic buildings in the nine county area of the northern Nebraska Sand Hills survey project. These abbreviations were developed as a means of expediting the survey recording process. The need for abbreviations was especially necessary in the recording of rural-based historic properties. In these cases, every effort was made to note each building, structure and object which contributed to the historic character of the property. Many of the abbreviations were developed by the NeSHPO during their former surveys of historic buildings throughout Nebraska. The remaining group of abbreviations were developed by Save America’s Heritage with the approval of the NeSHPO.

Fr. = Frame
Br. = Brick
Conc. Blk. = Concrete Block
Frmhse. = Farmhouse
Frmstd. = Farmstead
Hse. = House
S.K. = Summer Kitchen
Ckn. Hse. = Chicken House
Gar. = Garage
Gran. = Granary
D.T. Gran. = Drive-thru Granary
D.T. Crib = Drive-thru Crib
L.S. = Loafing Shed
Addn. = Addition
Cent. = Central
Enc. = Enclosed
Att. = Attached
Perpend. = Perpendicular
Symm. = Symmetrical
Lg. = Large
G.W.D. = Gable Wall Dormer
Gab. = Gable
Drmr. = Dormer
Aban. = Abandoned
Det. = Deteriorated
Outbldg. = Outbuilding
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